KETO-ENOLIC TAUTOMERISM AND SPECTRAL DATA OF PRENYLATED ANTHRANOIDS FROM VISMIA GENUS

M. NICOLETTI and G. B. MARINI-BETTOLO

Cattedra di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica, Istituto dell'Orto Botanico, Universitâ degli Studi di Roma, L.go Cristina di Svezia, 24-00165-Roma, Italy

and

F. DELLE MONACHE and G. DELLE MONACHE

Centro Chimica dei Recettori e delle Molecole Biologicamente Attive del C.N.R., Istituto di Chimica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Via della Pineta Sacchetti, 644-00168-Roma, Italy

(Received in the U.K. 18 March 1982).

Abstract—A keto-enolic tautomerism is present in ring C of ferruginins, as demonstrated by chemical and physico-chemical methods. In particular, spectroscopic data of ferruginins, vismiones and their derivatives are reported and discussed. This study constitutes the first report on the application of ¹³C NMR spectroscopy to this type of structure.

During the past years a number of prenylated anthranoids, i.e. ferruginin A, 1, and B, 2, harunganin, 3, vismin, 4, γ -hydroxyferruginin A, 5, γ , γ' -dihydroxyferruginin A, 6 vismione A, 7, deacetylvismione A, 8, and visimone B, 9, have been isolated from the berries of eight Vismia spp (Guttiferae)¹⁻⁴ and from the leaves of one.⁵ The structure determination of these products indicated that they are all derived from an anthracene moiety with one non-aromaticoring. They should have a common biogenetic pathway, as previously indicated⁶ and may be divided in two groups: ferruginins, 1-6 (non-aromatic C ring) and vismiones, 7-9 (non-aromatic A ring), both substituted with prenyl chains in the aromatic rings but only the former also in the nonaromatic ring.

Their structures are rather uncommon among the secondary metabolites of plants. Examples in the literature of the former group (non-aromatic C ring) are harunganin, 3, previously found in *Harungana madagascariensis* (Guttiferae)⁷ and germichrysone, isolated from the seedlings of *Cassia torosa* (Guttiferae),⁸ whereas examples of the latter group (non-aromatic A ring) are torosachrysone and the corresponding atropoisomeric-dimers from the same plant,⁹ and the dimeric toxins isolated from *Karwinskia humboldtiana* (Rhamnaceae).¹⁰

Keto-enolic tautomerism. Ring C in ferruginin A, 1, and related structures could exist in the keto-enolic tautomeric forms 1a, 1b and 1c, as already noted in other natural products.^{11,12} A form 1a and 1b vs 1c should be more stable considering the very strong intramolecular

hydrogen bonding interaction, as indicated by the low field chemical shift value of the C(13)-OH. In fact, whereas in the ¹H NMR spectrum of ferruginin A in acetone-d₆ (Fig. 1), pyridine-d₅ and dioxane-d₆ only the keto-enolic form 1a is evident, the ¹H NMR spectrum in CDCl₃ (Fig. 2) clearly indicated the co-existence of two tautomeric forms (1a and 1b). This follows from the splitting of the two lowest field signals, due to the chelated hydroxyl groups, from the reduced intensity of the H-2 signal (δ 5.90) and from the appearance of a singlet at δ 3.60, attributable to the C(2)-methylene of the diketonic form 1b. A predominance of the diketonic form 1b vs 1a for 53% at 30°, for 58% at 45° and for 70% at 63° was roughly calculated by integrating the above signals in the ¹H NMR spectra (100 MHz). Furthermore, exchange with D₂O (in acetone-d₆) determined the disappearance not only of the signals at δ 17.75 and 10.35 (C(13)-OH and C(11)-OH, respectively), but also of the signal at δ 5.75, due to the labile H-2. No immediate evidence of the third tautomeric form, 1c, was obtained from the ¹H NMR spectra. Therefore, we attempted to fix the three tautomeric forms 1a-c by methylation under various conditions.

Methylation with dimethylsulphate gave only the monomethylether 10 and the dimethylethers 11 and 12. In all these compounds, the tautomer 1a is frozen as a consequence of the methylation of C(3)-OH. The structures were easily determined by UV (Table 1), ¹H NMR (Table 2) and MS spectra (See Experimental). In particular, the ¹H NMR spectra indicated which and how many hydroxyl groups were substituted, whereas the UV



and MS spectra (the expected ions being regularly shifted by 14 and 28 m.u. in comparison with those of 1^2) showed that the skeleton was substantially unalterated.

Methylation with diazomethane led to the formation of the above compounds, 10-12 (derived from 1a) and of 1,13-di-O-methyl derivative 13, of the keto-enolic form 1c. The structure of the last compound was shown by the 'H NMR spectrum and from the UV and MS spectral data, which indicated a changed chromophore. ¹³C NMR spectrum provides further confirmation of its structure (vide infra).

Finally, methylation with $CH_3I-K_2CO_3$, in addition to 10-12, gave three other compounds, 14-16, derived from C- and/or O-methylation of the diketonic form 1b, frozen by the C(2) gem-dimethyl group. Also the structures 14-16 were deduced from the spectral data. In particular,

in the ¹H NMR spectrum the H-2 signal is substituted by a 6H singlet (δ 1.25) and in the MS spectra the characteristic losses,² due to the prenyl chains, are present together with the losses of the *gem*-dimethyls.

¹³C NMR analysis. In order to acquire new spectral data of this class of compounds, which can be useful for the structure elucidation of new members, we have measured the ¹³C NMR spectra of vismiones, 7–9, and ferruginins, 1–6, as well as of the methyl derivatives, 11, 12 and 13 (Table 3).

Starting the analysis from compound 8, the signal at lowest field belongs to the carbon atom C(1) of the carbonyl group. The second highest value is assigned to C(13), which is more shielded than C(11) owing to the *peri* position with a carbonyl and a hydroxyl group; furthermore its value is very similar to the corresponding





 λ_{max} (EtOH+AlCl₃), nm⁶ λ_{max} (EtOH), nm 456 246, 323, 340(sh), 412 1 467 244, 262, 292, 422 19 11 12 13 14 15 444 243, 260(sh), 294(sh), 394 238, 270, 320(sh), 392 392 242, 306 (sh), 382 (sh) 382(sh) 236, 278, 315(sh), 424 463 234, 276, 309(sh), 396 400 16 377 235, 273, 320(sh), 382

Table 1. UV-visible spectra of ferruginin A and its methyl derivatives

* Also hyperchromic shift was observed for the compounds with C(13)-OH

value in 2-hydroxyacetophenone (161.2 ppm).¹³ As a first approximation, for the assignments of the other carbon atoms, the naphthalene system may be chosen as a model; in the literature complete studies can be found of substitution effects in naphthalene derivatives,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ however differences can be expected for positions *peri* and *ortho* polysubstituted and for the presence of hydrogen bonding. Values calculated for compound 8 are reported in parentheses in Table 3 and they are, in general, in agreement with assignments; for the sidechain the effect of an ethyl group was taken approximately. Anyway, assignments of C(5) vs C(7) is

confirmed by the constancy of C(4a) in naphthalene and several hydroxy, acetoxy, methoxy, etc. derivatives.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ As for assignments of other quaternary aromatic carbon atoms, they are more shielded than the former ones being in *ortho* positions to carbon atoms which are oxygen-substituted, acetylation of 8 changes in 7 only the value of C(14), whereas cyclization of the side-chain $(8 \rightarrow 9)$ changes only C(10); C(2) and C(4) methylenes absorb at different values owing to the differing influence of adjacent groups. The esterification effects on C(3) (+9.8 ppm) and on C(4) (-1.6 ppm) and C(2) (-2.4 ppm) in 7 (CDCl₃) vs 8 are in accordance with those



Table 2. ¹H NMR data (CDCl₃) of methyl derivatives of ferruginin A*

	C(1)-OCH3	C(2)-R	с(з)-осн _з	С(8)-Н	C(11)-OR	C(13)-OR	С(6)-Н
10	-	5.67 (1H)	3.74	6.94	10.10 (1H)	16.85 (11)	7.01
11	-	5.70 (1н)	3.72	7.23	3.88 (Зн)	15.48 (11)	7.09
12	-	5.75 (1H)	3.73	7.06	10.23 (1H)	4.00 (3H)	7.50
13	3.90	5.72 (1H)	-	7.06	10.02 (1H)	3.94 (3H)	7.43
14	-	1.28 (6н)	-	7.08	9.96 (1H)	16.28 (1H)	7.15
15	-	1.26 (6н)	-	7.30	3.90 (3н)	14.68 (1H)	7.14
16	-	1.20 (6H)	-	7.20	9.94 (1H)	4.10 (3H)	7.52

^{*}The resonances of the aromatic methyl groups and of the prenyl chains are not reported. All the signals are sharp singlets, except C(8)-H showing long chain coupling with C(9)-CH₂.

	2°*	7 [*] [#]	8 [#]	9# m	
C(1)	202.0	200.3	200.2	201.1	······································
C (2)	50.1	49.1	50 .7	52.0	
C(3)	82.0	80.6	70.8	7 0.7	
C(4)	40.8	39.6	43.0	43.8	
C (5)	140.1	138.7	138.3 (140.9)	140.4	
C(6)	118.3	117.2ª	117.8 (116.7)	117.0	
C(7)	136.1	134.0	134.6 (133.0)	135.7	
C(8)	99.4	98.0	97.8 (97.0)	98.1	
C(9)	157.9	156.5	156.5 (158.6)	157.0	
C(1 9)	109.6ª	108.2	107.9 (115.6)	102.2	
C(11)	162.6	161.4	161.4	178.2	
C(12)	109.1 ^a	111.5	111.1 (115.4)	112.0	
C(13)	167.0	165 . B	165.8	167.6	
C(14)	112.5	113.9	109.6 (109.3)	109.2	
C(15)	25.4	24,4	29.0	28.6	
C(16)	56.7	55.6	55.5	55.5	
C(17)	118.3	116.4ª	117.2ª	117.0	
C(18)	143.8	143.0	143.7	127.8	
C (19)	34.9	33.2	33.2	78.4	
C (20)	24.1	22.7	22.9	27.7	
C(21)	24.1	22.7	22.9	27.4 ^a	

Table 3. ¹³C NMR data of vismiones

^oIn dioxane-d₈. ^{*}The acetyl C=0 and CH₃ chemical shifts are 171.1 and 22.6 ppm, respectively.

[#] In CDCl₃. ⁺The acetyl C=O and CH₃ chemical shifts are 170.2 and 22.0, respectively.

Within the same column assignments may be reversed.

reported in acetylation of tertiary cyclic alcohols,^{17,18} whereas the shielding of C(15) (-3.6 ppm) can be attributed to the influence of the carbonyl group, by a mechanism already observed in acetyl derivatives of carbinols.¹⁹ Particularly good confirmation of previous assignments is the comparison with reported values of 8-O- β -D-glucopyranosyltorachrysone.²⁰

Previous trends can be used to confirm structures in

compounds 1-6 and their derivatives 11, 12 and 13, whose assignments are reported in Table 4. The spectra of 1-6 were measured in dioxane- d_8 , where only one form of the aforementioned keto-enolic tautomerim of ring C is present. The tautomerism is absent in the derivatives which have been frozen by O-methylation. For a better comparison, values of compound 7 in dioxane- d_8 are reported in Table 3. In 1-6 the conjugated carbonyl

carbon C(1) resonates at the same value, which is higher than those of similar conjugated ketones,²¹ under the deshielding influence of the peri hydroxy group, as observed in other structures, i.e. flavones and xanthones.^{22,23} C(2) and C(3) can be assigned by analogy with the corresponding values reported in 3-methoxy-2cyclohexenone (102.3 and 178.5 ppm, respectively)²⁴ and 5,5'-dimethyl-3-ethoxycyclohexenone (101.2 and 175.2 ppm).²⁵ As a confirmation, the C(3) value changes only in 2, as a consequence of the introduction of the prenyl group at C(2) and in 11 and 12 shows the shielding due to the O-methylation. Regarding C(11) and C(13), the latter must be located at lower field, as a consequence of the influence of the groups in the peri position. As independent confirmation, C(13) practically remains constant in 1-6, whereas C(11) is subjected to the effects of ring A substitution. Concerning the other carbon atoms forming rings A and B, C(6), C(8) and C(10) can be distinguished considering their positions and different substitutions in each compound. In 1-6, the signals belonging to quaternary carbon atoms resonating at highest field must be attributed to C(12) and C(14); the lower value was assigned to C(12) in consideration of its constancy, whereas C(14) changes in 2, in consequence of substitution at C(2). Fully coupled spectra (gate decoupled) were used to confirm assignments; in fact, although an exact measurement of long-range coupling constants was not always possible owing to the complexity of the patterns arising from the tricyclic substituted aromatic system, differences in the "fingerprint"

of each signal were compared.²⁶ In this way, C(17), C(17') and C(22) isopropylic carbon atoms can be distinguished by their characteristic multiplets. C(7) is confirmed not only by its chemical shift, similar to that one in 7-9, but also by its form as a singlet in 4 and related compounds, whereas in 3 it resembles a triplet; similarly, C(5) shows a triplet which is further split and C(9) a quartet, changing form in the differently substituted compounds. Methyl groups of prenyl chains are usually coincident; higher field values were assigned to methyl groups in *cis* positions.²⁷ In compound 5, the stereochemistry of the oxygenated prenyl chain, previously undetermined,⁴ is now assigned by comparison with reported data for monoterpenes²⁸ and for angelic and tiglic acids.²⁹ Values of 6 confirm the presence of two hydroxyl groups in the same prenyl chain.²

Chemical shift values of compounds 11, 12 and 13 give confirmation of previously assigned structures. Locations of methoxy groups in 11 and 12 are assigned by C(1), C(3) and C(13) chemical shifts. In particular, loss of intramolecular hydrogen bonding in 12 generates an upfield shift of *ca* 5 ppm for C(1), the same value already observed in other O-methylation and O-allylation.^{22,23} Furthermore, methylation of disubstituted *ortho*, *ortho'* hydroxyl groups results in steric inhibition to resonance and consequent deshielding of *ortho* carbon atoms.^{30,31} In this way, in 11, in comparison with 4, C(10) and C(12) are deshielded, but not C(14) and in 12 only C(12) and C(14) change their values similarly. Comparison of 13 with 11 and 12 confirms its structure: the different con-

p,



Table 4. ¹³C NMR data of ferruginins and their derivatives*

	1	2	3	4	5	6	11	12	13
C(1)	192.6	192.5	192.6	192.6	192.6	192.6	189.9	184.4	169.7
C(2)	105.9	117.0	106.0	105.9	105.8	105.9	103.7	105.6	104.5
C(3)	180.8	182.2	180.5	180.9	181.1	181.2	177.3	174.3	200.5
C(4)	51.3	50.7	51.3	51.3	51.1	51.1	50.0	49.3	57.6
C(5)	142.6	142.9	141+1	141.7	142.0	142.0	144.5	141.9	142.5
C(6)	116.2	115.9	114.3	116.3	116.1	116.0	124.1	122.3	124.4
C(7)	137.9	139.8	138.8	135.3	137.9	137.9	137.5	135.3	136.2
C(8)	124.5	123.5	134.6	126.4	124.4	124.4	123.0	120.5	123.9
C (9)	140.8	141.5	140.9	140.0	140.7	140.7	140.4	139.7	140.8
C(10)	123.5	113.0	113.5	113.1	123.7	123.7	131.1	122.0	124.2
C(11)	155.8	159.0	157.3	159.0	155.8	155.9	155.8	151.7	153.1
C(12)	109,7	109.9	110.3	109.9	109.7	109.7	114.5 ^a	114.2	116.2 ^a
C(13)	164.9	164.6	165.1	164.6	165.0	165.1	161.9	157.4	157+1
C(14)	112.7	117.7	113.2	112.6	112.7	112.2	114.4 ^a	118.6	117.1ª
C(15)	41.9	43.1	42.0	42.2	42.4	41.9	40.8	39.6	42.5
C(15')	41.9	43.1	42.0	42.2	41.4	40.2	40.8	39.6	42.5
C(16)	120.2	120.3	120.2	120.4	120.1	123.4	118.5	118.2	120.1
C(16')	120.2	120.3	120.2	120.4	120.1	120.1	118.5	118.2	120.1
C(17)	135.2	135.2	135.3	135.3	139.5	143.0	134.0	133.5	134.6
C(17')	135.2	135.2	135.3	135.3	135.5	135.7	134.0	133.5	134.6
C(18)	26.6	26.6	26.5	26.5	68.4	66.1	25.7	25.3	26.8
C(18')	26.6	26.6	26.5	26.5	26.7	26.6 ^a	25.7	25.3	26.8
C(19)	21.6	21.9	21.7	22.9	14.9	59.8	17•9 ^b	17.3	21.6
C(19')	21.6	21.9	21.7	22.9	21.6	21.6	17.9 ^b	17.3	21.6
C (20)	26.3	26.8	28.8		26.3	26.4	29.8	24.8	26.8
C(21)	119.9	118.9	120.2		119.9	120.1	119.1	118.0	120.1
C(22)	132.0	134.1	131.6		132.1	132.2	131.1	130.8	132.1
C(23)	26.6	26.6	26.5		26.7	26.8 ^a	25.7	25.3	26.8
C(24)	21.6	22.9	21.7		21.6	21.6	18.0 ^D	17.3	21.6
C(25)	19.1	19.1	19.1		19.1	19+1	20.5	20.1	19.1
C(3)-OCH3						55.8	55.1		
C(11)-OCH3						62.6			
C (13)-OCH3							63.6	64.9	
с(1)-осн ₃									56.8

"In dioxane-dg, except <u>11</u> and <u>12</u> (in CDCl₃).

^{a,b}Within the same column assignments may be reversed.

stitution of ring C is reflected in changes in C(1), C(3), C(4) and methoxy groups values. In fact, it must be noted that C(4) shows in 13 vs 11 and 12 a shift (ca + 7.5 ppm) very similar to that observed for C(5') in griseofulvin vs isogriseofulvin, where a similar conversion is involved.²⁵ The similarity of 13 to 12, in particular in C(8) and C(10) chemical shifts, attests the presence of the methoxy group at C(13). Finally, methoxy groups in 11, 12 and 13 were assigned in consideration that methoxy carbon atoms *ortho, ortho'* disubstituted resonate at lower field than 60 ppm, whereas ordinary OMe groups are usually found between 55–57 ppm.^{32,33}

EXPERIMENTAL

UV spectra were recorded on a Beckmann Acta III, IR spectra on a Perkin-Elmer 247, mass spectra on an AEI 12 and ¹H NMR spectra on a Varian EM 360 spectrometer, except those at variable temperature (Varian XL 100), values in δ and TMS as internal standard. Natural abundance ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 32° using a Varian XL 100 Fourier Transform spectrometer operating at 25.2 MHz, in 0.4–0.8M solutions and using TMS as internal reference. Usual measurement techniques (PND, SFORD and gate decoupled spectra) were used for exact determination of ¹³C chemical shifts and differentiation of carbon atoms. SiO₂ MN Kieselgel was used for column chromatography and Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ for tlc. M.ps are uncorrected.

Methylation of ferruginin A

(a) With dimethylsulphate. Ferruginin A (250 mg), K_2CO_3 (500 mg) and dimethylsulphate (1.7 ml) were refluxed for 4 h. Standard work-up and chromatographic separation (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂) gave 3-O-methylferruginin A, 10, (80 mg), 3,11-di-O-methylferruginin A, 11 (40 mg), and 3,13-di-O-methylferruginin A, 12 (80 mg), successively.

(b) With diazomethane. Diazomethane in Et_2O was added to ferruginin A (250 mg) in CHCl₃ and the soln left overnight.

Standard work-up and chromatographic separation (SiO_2, CH_2Cl_2) gave 10 (15 mg), 11 (40 mg), 1,13-di-O-methylisoferruginin A, 13 (56 mg) and 12 (80 mg), successively.

(c) With methyliodide. Ferruginin A (250 mg) in acetone (20 ml), K_2CO_3 (250 mg) and CH₃I (2 ml) were refluxed for 3 h. After evaporation, the raw product was separated on a SiO₂ column. Elution with CH₂Cl₂: *n*-hexane 1:1 gave 2,2-dimethyl-diketoferruginin A, 14, (32 mg), 10 (38 mg), 11-O-methyl-2,2-dimethyl-diketoferruginin A, 15, (13 mg), and 13-O-methyl-2,2-dimethyl-diketoferruginin A, 16, (50 mg), successively. Elution with CH₂Cl₂ gave 11 (32 mg) and 12 (62 mg).

3-O-methylferruginin A, 10. Dark yellow crystals from n-heptane, m.p. 81–82°. UV in Table 1. IR (CHCl₃), ν_{max} 3360, 1367, 1620, 1600 and 1580 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR in Table 2. MS, m/e (%): 474 (M⁺, 14), 419 ([M – C₄H₇]⁺, 5), 406 ([M – C₅H₈]⁺, 34), 405 ([M – C₅H₉)⁺, 100), 375 (6), 363 (18), 362 (15), 350 (12), 349 (9), 342 (13), 337 (13), 326 (16), 319 (15), 307 (50), 301 (10), 298 (16), 295 (28), 294 (9).

3,11-di-O-methylferruginin A, 11. Dark yellow crystals from *n*-heptane, m.p. 91–92°. UV in Table 1. IR (CHCl₃), ν_{max} 3400, 1632, 1625 and 1595 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR in Table 2 and ¹³C NMR in Table 4. MS, *m/e* (%): 488 (M⁺, 50), 433 ([M – C₄H₇]⁺, 6), 420 ([M – C₅H₈]⁺, 100), 419 ([M – C₃H₉]⁺, 96), 405 (16), 389 (9), 377 (9), 376 (6), 364 (52), 363 (100), 359 (18), 333 (18), 331 (28), 321 (15).

3,13-di-O-methylferruginin A, 12. Orange crystals from petrol ether, m.p. 93–95°. UV in Table 1. ¹H NMR in Table 2 and ¹³C NMR in Table 4. MS, m/e (%): 488 (M⁺, 10), 433 ([M – C₄H₂]⁺, 62), 420 ([M – C₅H₈)⁺, 45), 419 ([M – C₅H₉]⁺, 68), 405 (28), 403 (38), 393 (46), 377 (90), 375 (43), 365 (90), 363 (52), 351 (48), 333 (60), 321 (100), 309 (76), 295 (46).

1,13-di-O-methylisoferruginin A, 13. Yellow crystals from n-heptane, m.p. 79-80°. UV in Table 1. ¹H NMR in Table 2 and ¹³C NMR in Table 4. MS, m/e (%): 488 (M⁺, 56), 420 ([M - C₃H₈]⁺, 100), 419 ([M - C₃H₉]⁺, 70), 405 (12), 391 (18), 365 (25), 363 (13), 341 (16), 321 (23), 301 (34), 287 (64).

2,2-dimethyl-diketoferruginin A, 14. Orange needles from MeOH. m.p. 71–72°. UV in Table 1. IR (CHCl₃), ν_{max} 1710 and 1630 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR in Table 2. MS, m/e (%): 488 (M⁺, 70), 420 ([M – C₃H₈]⁺, 64), 419 ([M – C₅H₉]⁺, 100), 405 (419 – CH₃, 6), 365 (5). 364 (27), 363 (36), 349 (364 – CH₃, 22), 321 (12), 293 (85).

2,2-dimethyl-11-O-methyl-diketoferruginin A, 15. Amorphous solid. UV in Table 1. IR (CHCl₃), ν_{max} 1720 and 1620 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR in Table 2. MS, m/e (%): 502 (M⁺, 91), 434 ([M - C₅H₈]⁺, 93), 433 ([M - C₅H₉]⁻, 100), 419 (16), 379 (45), 378 (41), 377 (69), 363 (74), 335 (20), 307 (83).

2,2-dimethyl-13-O-methyl-diketoferruginin A, 16. Yellow crystals from MeOH, m.p. 84-86°. UV in Table 1. IR (CHCl₃), ν_{max} 1720 and 1685 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR in Table 2. MS, m/e (%): 502 (M⁺, 97), 434 ([M - C₅H₈]⁺, 97), 433 ([M - C₅H₉]⁺, 77), 419 (9), 379 (12), 378 (26), 377 (13), 363 (81), 335 (10), 307 (100).

Acknowledgements—Grateful acknowledgement is made to Dr H. E. Gottlieb for his interest and help.

REFERENCES

- ¹F. Delle Monache, F. Ferrari, G. B. Marini-Bettolo, P. Maxfield, S. Cerrini, W. Fedeli and A. Vaciago, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **109**, 301 (1979).
- ²F. Delle Monache, M. Marquina, F. Ferrari and G. B. Marini-Bettolo, *Tetrahedron* **35**, 2143 (1979).
- ³F. Delle Monache, F. Ferrari, G. B. Marini-Bettolo and L. E. Cuca Suarez, *Planta Medica* 40, 340 (1980).
- ⁴F. Ferrari, F. Faini Torres, G. B. Marini-Bettolo and R. Alves De Lima, *Lloydia* **43**, 487 (1980).
- ⁵J. Gonzalez, Gonzalez, F. Delle Monache, G. Delle Monache and G. B. Marini-Bettolo, *Planta Medica* 40, 347 (1980).
- ⁶G. B. Marini-Bettolo, F. Delle Monache and M. Marquina Mac Quahe, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei (Rome) 65, 302 (1978).
- ^{7a}G. H. Stout, R. A. Alden, J. Kraut and D. F. High, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84, 2653 (1962); ^bE. Richtie and W. C. Taylor, Tetrahedron Letters 1431 (1964).
- ⁸S. Takahashi, M. Takido, U. Sankawa and S. Shibata. *Phy*tochemistry 15, 1295 (1976).
- ^{9a} M. Takido, S. Takahashi, K. Masuda and K. Yasukawa, Lloydia 40, 191 (1977); ^bS. Takahashi, S. Kitanaka, M. Takido, U. Sankawa and A. Shibata, Phytochemistry 16, 999 (1977).
- ¹⁰D. Dreyer, I. Arai, C. D. Bachman, W. R. Anderson Jr., R. G.
- Amith and G. D. Daves Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 4985 (1972). ¹¹O. Mascaretti, C.-J. Chang and H. G. Floss, J. Natural Prod. 42,
- 455 (1979).
- ¹²F. Borremans, M. De Potter and D. De Keukeleire, Org. Magn. Res. 7, 415 (1975).
- ¹³K. R. Markhan and B. Ternai, Tetrahedron 32, 565 (1976).
- ¹⁴J. Serta, J. Sandström and T. Drakemberg, Org. Magn. Res. 11, 329 (1978).
- ¹⁵W. Kitching, M. Bullpitt, D. Doddrell and W. Adcock, *Ibid.* 6, 289 (1974).
- ¹⁶P. E. Hansen, *Ibid.* 12, 109 (1979).
- ¹⁷E. Breitmaer and W. Voelter, In C-13 NMR Spectroscopy, p. 142. Verlag Chemie, Weirheim (1974).
- ¹⁸J. B. Stothers, In C-13 NMR Spectroscopy, p. 169. Academic Press, New York (1972).
- ¹⁹S. W. Pelletier, Z. Djarmati and C. Pape, *Tetrahedron* **32**, 995 (1976).
- ²⁰K. Yamasaki, R. Kasai, Y. Masaki, M. Okihara, O. Tanaka, H. Oshio, S. Takagi, M. Yamaki, M. Masuda, G. Nonaka, M. Tsuboi and I. Nishioka, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1231 (1977).
- ²¹R. Hollenstein and W. von Philipsborn, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 55, 2030 (1972).
- ²²K. R. Markhan and B. Ternai, *Tetrahedron* **32**, 2607 and 565 (1976).
- ²³M. Sum b. Hj. Idris, A. Jesserson and F. Scheinmann, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I 2158 (1977).
- ²⁴G. Hofle, Tetrahedron 32, 1431 (1976).
- ²⁵S. G. Levine, R. E. Hicks, H. E. Gottlieb and E. Wenkert, J. Org. Chem. 40, 2540 (1975).
- ²⁶H. Günther, H. Schmickler and G. Jikeli, J. Magn. Res. 11, 344 (1973).
- ²⁷Ref. 18, p. 406.
- ²⁸F. Bohlmann, R. Zeisberg and E. Klein, Org. Magn. Res. 7, 426 (1975).
- ²⁹U. Vögeli and W. von Philipsborn, *Ibid.* 7, 617 (1975).
- ³⁰K. S. Dhami and J. B. Stothers, *Can. J. Chem.* **44**, 2855 (1966).
- ³¹H. E. Gottlieb, Israel J. Chemistry 16, 57 (1977).
- ³⁴T. Nakano, K. Tori and Y. Yoshimura, *Rev. Latinoamer. Quim.* **10**, 17 (1979).
- ³³T. Nakano, J. Alonso, R. Grillet and A. Martin, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I 2107 (1979).

3686